Robust nonlinear Optimization

Maren Mahsereci

Workshop on Uncertainty Quantification 09 / 15 / 2016, Sheffield



Emmy Noether Group on Probabilistic Numerics Department of Empirical Inference Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems Tübingen, Germany



Robust optimization

...outline

- basics about greedy optimizers
 - GD and SGD: (stochastic) gradient descent
- robust stochastic optimization
 - example: step size adaptation
 - extending line searches
 - robust search directions

... greedy and gradient based optimizer

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i s_i$$

- 1. s_i which direction? \rightarrow model objective function locally
- 2. α_i how far? \rightarrow prevent blow ups and stagnation
- 3. repeat
 - needs to work for many different $\mathcal{L}(x)$

... greedy and gradient based optimizer

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i s_i$$

- 1. s_i which direction? \rightarrow model objective function locally
- 2. α_i how far? \rightarrow prevent blow ups and stagnation
- 3. repeat
 - needs to work for many different $\mathcal{L}(x)$

$$x^* = \underset{x}{\arg\min} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\arg\min} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\arg\min} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



$$x^* = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const.$$



Additional difficulty

.. noisy functions by mini-batching

$$x^* = \arg\min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$$

sometimes we do not know $-\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$ precisely!

Additional difficulty

.. noisy functions by mini-batching

 $x^* = \arg\min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ell(x, y_i) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \ell(x, y_j) \eqqcolon \hat{\mathcal{L}}(x), \quad m \ll M$$

- compute only smaller sum over m
- hope that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ approximates $\mathcal{L}(x)$ well
- smaller *m* means higher noise on $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x)$

Additional difficulty

.. noisy functions by mini-batching

 $x^* = \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ell(x, y_i) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \ell(x, y_j) \eqqcolon \hat{\mathcal{L}}(x), \quad m \ll M$$

- compute only smaller sum over m
- hope that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ approximates $\mathcal{L}(x)$ well
- smaller *m* means higher noise on $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x)$

for iid. mini-batches, noise is approximately Gaussian

... in expectation: SGD finds local minimum, too.

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \hat{\nabla \mathcal{L}}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const$$



... in expectation: SGD finds local minimum, too.

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x} \mathcal{L}(x)$$
$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha \hat{\nabla \mathcal{L}}(x_i), \quad \alpha = const$$



Step size adaptation

... by line searches

 $x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i s_i$

so far α was constant and hand-chosen!

line searches automatically choose step sizes

 $x^* = \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$

$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$$

set scalar step size α_i given direction $-\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$



 $x^* = \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$

$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$$

set scalar step size α_i given direction $-\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$



 $x^* = \arg\min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$

$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$$

set scalar step size α_i given direction $-\nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$



 $x^* = \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}(x)$

$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i \nabla \mathcal{L}(x_i)$$

set scalar step size α_i given noisy direction $-\nabla \hat{\mathcal{L}}(x_i)$



Line searches break in stochastic setting!

Step size adaptation

... by line searches

 $x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i s_i$

- line searches automatically choose step sizes
- very fast subroutines called in each optimization step
- control blow up or stagnation
- they do not work in stochastic optimization problems!

Step size adaptation

... by line searches

 $x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i - \alpha_i s_i$

- line searches automatically choose step sizes
- very fast subroutines called in each optimization step
- control blow up or stagnation
- they do not work in stochastic optimization problems!

small outline

- introduce classic (noise free) line searches
- translate concept to language of probability
- get a new algorithm robust to noise

Initial evaluation = current position of optimizer



Search: candidate # 1



Collapse search space



Search: candidate # 2



Collapse search space



Search: candidate # 3



Accept: datapoint # 3 fulfills Wolfe conditions



Choosing meaningful step-sizes, at very low overhead

many classic line searches

- 1. model the 1D objective with cubic spline
- 2. search candidate points by collapsing search space
- 3. accept if Wolfe conditions fulfilled

Fail in the presence of noise.

many classic line searches

- 1. model the 1D objective with cubic spline
- 2. search candidate points by collapsing search space
- 3. accept if Wolfe conditions fulfilled

Classic line searches break in stochastic optimization problems!

designing a probabilistic line search

many classic line searches

- 1. model the 1D objective with cubic spline
- 2. search candidate points by collapsing search space
- 3. accept if Wolfe conditions fulfilled

Classic line searches break in stochastic optimization problems!

extending the line search paradigm:

- 1. model: cubic spline GP surrogate
- 2. search: Bayesian optimization for exploration
- 3. accept: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions

Step 1: cubic spline GP surrogate, Step 2: BO for exploration

1. model: cubic spline GP (integrated Wiener process)

 $p(f) = \mathcal{GP}(f,0;k), \quad k(t,t') = \left[\frac{1}{3}\min^3(t,t') + \frac{1}{2}|t-t'|\min^2(t,t')\right]$

- robust and flexible
- has analytic minima (root of quadratic equation)

Step 1: cubic spline GP surrogate, Step 2: BO for exploration

1. model: cubic spline GP (integrated Wiener process)

 $p(f) = \mathcal{GP}(f,0;k), \quad k(t,t') = \left[\frac{1}{3}\min^3(t,t') + \frac{1}{2}|t-t'|\min^2(t,t')\right]$

- robust and flexible
- has analytic minima (root of quadratic equation)
- 2. search: Bayesian optimization (expected improvement)

 $u_{\mathsf{EI}}(t) = \mathsf{E}_{p(f_t \mid \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}')}[\min\{0, \eta - f(t)\}]$ [Jones et al., 1998]

- only evaluated at few candidate points:
 - analytic minima of posterior mean
 - one extrapolation point

Step 3: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions

- 3. accept: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions:
 - Wolfe conditions are positivity constraints on two variables a_t, b_t

$$\begin{split} f(t) &\leq f(0) + c_1 t f'(0) \quad (\text{W-I}) \quad \text{ and } \quad f'(t) \geq c_2 f'(0) \quad (\text{W-II}) \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & c_1 t & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 0 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} f(0) \\ f'(0) \\ f(t) \\ f'(t) \end{matrix} \right] \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Step 3: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions

- 3. accept: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions:
 - Wolfe conditions are positivity constraints on two variables a_t, b_t

$$\begin{split} f(t) &\leq f(0) + c_1 t f'(0) \quad (\text{W-I}) \quad \text{ and } \quad f'(t) \geq c_2 f'(0) \quad (\text{W-II}) \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & c_1 t & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 0 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} f(0) \\ f'(0) \\ f(t) \\ f'(t) \end{matrix} \right] \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Step 3: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions

- 3. accept: probabilistic Wolfe termination conditions:
 - Wolfe conditions are positivity constraints on two variables a_t, b_t

$$\begin{split} f(t) &\leq f(0) + c_1 t f'(0) \quad (\text{W-I}) \quad \text{ and } \quad f'(t) \geq c_2 f'(0) \quad (\text{W-II}) \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & c_1 t & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 0 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} f(0) \\ f'(0) \\ f(t) \\ f'(t) \end{matrix} \right] \geq 0. \end{split}$$

• GP on f implies, at each t, a bivariate Gaussian distribution:

$$p(a_t, b_t) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} m_t^a \\ m_t^b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_t^{aa} & C_t^{ab} \\ C_t^{ba} & C_t^{bb} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

probability for weak Wolfe conditions : $p_t^{\text{Wolfe}} = p(0 \le a_t \land 0 \le b_t)$ approximate strong conditions : $p_t^{\text{Wolfe}} = p(0 \le a_t \land 0 \le b_t \le \overline{b})$

Initial belief: first evaluation = current position of optimizer



- 1 1

Search: candidate # 1



14

Accept: Check p_{Wolfe} for first datapoint



14

Search: candidate # 2





Accept: check p_{Wolfe} for datapoints # 1 and # 2

 $^{-1}$

0

1

 p_{Wolfe} =0.00

2



0

1

 p_{Wolfe} =0.07

2

-1

Search: candidates # 3







Search: candidates # 3 (discriminate through EI)







Accept: check p_{Wolfe} for datapoints # 1, # 2 and # 3



... probabilistic line searches

make new from old:

- 1. model cubic spline \rightarrow GP with cubic spline means
- 2. search collapsing search space \rightarrow Bayesian optimization
- 3. accept binary Wolfe conditions \rightarrow probabilistic Wolfe conditions
- \rightarrow lightweight inner optimization routine
- \rightarrow robust stochastic optimization

Line search finds learning rates

SGD on 2-layer neural net: mini-batch size: 10



small summary

... about line searches and others

take away

- optimizer are learning machines
- data: noisy gradient
- prior encodes structure of the objective
- prob. line search: infers approximate minimum

small summary

... about line searches and others

take away

- optimizer are learning machines
- data: noisy gradient
- prior encodes structure of the objective
- prob. line search: infers approximate minimum

there is more

- the field is much broader than 'only' line searches
- search directions can also be learned
- classic search directions are MAP estimator of Gaussian inference
- robust second order search directions are still needed!

Probabilistic line searches

... in Tensorflow

We implement in:



Have a beer with Lukas!

Probabilistic line searches

... in Tensorflow

We implement in:



Have a beer with Lukas!

Thank you!