Efficient computation for latent Gaussian spatio-temporal models

Simo Särkkä

Aalto University, Finland Visiting at the Dept. of Stats., University of Oxford, UK

November, 2013



**Aalto University** 

Temporal Gaussian Processes, Kalman Filtering and Smoothing

- 2 Spatio-Temporal Gaussian Processes (Fields)
- 3 Latent Gaussian Process Models in Differential Equation Models
- Application Examples
- 5 Conclusion and Further Reading

# Motivating Applications

- Question arising in studies of brain activations: "Where are the activations located in brain?"
- Question arising in brain network analysis:
  "What is the spatio-temporal distribution of oscillations in brain?"
- Question arising in satellite positioning systems: "How can we predict the satellite position as accurately as possible?"
- Question arising in weather nowcasting: "How to predict/interpolate the evolution of precipitation?"
- Common characteristics: a huge number of data points, mainly in temporal direction.





## Representations of Temporal Gaussian Processes



Simo Särkkä (Aalto)

Efficient spatio-temporal GP models

# Representations of Temporal Gaussian Processes (cont.)

 Example: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process f(t) – path representation as a stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$\frac{df(t)}{dt} = -\lambda f(t) + w(t).$$

where w(t) is a white noise process.

• The mean and covariance functions:

$$m(t) = 0$$
  
 $k(t, t') = \exp(-\lambda |t - t'|)$ 

• Spectral density:

$$S(\omega) = rac{2\lambda}{\omega^2 + \lambda^2}$$

 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process f(t) is Markovian – very efficient Bayesian inference possible with Kalman filter and smoother.

Simo Särkkä (Aalto)

## **Rational Spectral Densities**

• The key is the rational spectral density:

$$S(\omega) = rac{( ext{polynomial in } \omega^2)}{( ext{polynomial in } \omega^2)}$$

• There always exists a state-space model with such a spectral density:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{f}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{L} w(t)$$
$$f(t) = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f}(t_i).$$

- $\hookrightarrow$  We can convert a large class of GPs into state-space models:
  - ✓ The Matérn class has this form:

$$S(\omega) \propto (\lambda^2 + \omega^2)^{-(p+1)}.$$

✓ Non-rational spectral densities can be easily approximated:

$$S(\omega) = \sigma^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\kappa}} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{4\kappa}\right) \approx \frac{(\text{const})}{a_0 + a_1 \,\omega^2 + \dots + a_N \,\omega^{2N}}$$

## Application to Gaussian Process Regression / Kriging

• Gaussian process regression (or Kriging) problems have the form

$$\begin{split} f(x) &\sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k(x, x')) \\ y_i &= f(x_i) + e_i, \qquad e_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\mathsf{noise}}^2). \end{split}$$

- The computational complexity is awful  $O(n^3)$ , where *n* is the number of measurements.
- Renaming x into time t gives us a temporal model:

$$egin{aligned} f(t) &\sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k(t, t')) \ y_i &= f(t_i) + e_i, \end{aligned} egin{aligned} e_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\mathsf{noise}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

• By converting into state-space form we get a model of the form:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{f}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{L} w(t)$$
$$y_i = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f}(t_i) + e_i.$$

## Kalman Filter and Rauch-Tung-Striebel Smoother

• Recall that Kalman filter and RTS smoother are algorithms for Bayesian inference in linear state-space models:

$$egin{aligned} & rac{d\mathbf{f}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\,\mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{L}\,\mathbf{w}(t) \ & \mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{H}\,\mathbf{f}(t_i) + \mathbf{e}_i \end{aligned}$$

- Computational efficiency by utilizing Markov properties of Itô stochastic differential equations (SDEs).
- → Many Gaussian process regression and Kriging problems can be efficiently solved with KF & RTS:
  - ✓ With *n* measurements, complexity of KF/RTS is O(n), when the brute-force GP regression solution is  $O(n^3)$ .
  - ✓ Straight-forward generalization to non-linear/non-Gaussian models via non-linear Kalman and particle filtering/smoothing .

### Example: Matérn Covariance Function

#### Example (1D Matérn covariance function)

• 1D Matérn family is  $(\tau = |t - t'|)$ :

$$k(\tau) = \sigma^2 \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{\tau}{l}\right)^{\nu} K_{\nu} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{\tau}{l}\right),$$

where  $\nu, \sigma, l > 0$  are the smoothness, magnitude and length scale parameters, and  $K_{\nu}(\cdot)$  the modified Bessel function.

• For example, when  $\nu = 5/2$ , we get

$$\frac{d\mathbf{f}(t)}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda^3 & -3\lambda^2 & -3\lambda \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} w(t).$$

### From Temporal to Spatio-Temporal Processes



The temporal vector-valued process becomes an infinite-dimensional function (Hilbert space) -valued process:

$$\mathbf{f}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(t) \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{x}_1, t) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{x}_n, t) \end{pmatrix} \to f(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

# Infinite-Dimensional Kalman Filtering and Smoothing

• Spatio-temporal Gaussian process regression (or Kriging) problem:

$$egin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x},t) &\sim \mathcal{GP}(\mathbf{0},k(\mathbf{x},t;\mathbf{x}',t')) \ y_i &= f(\mathbf{x}_i,t_i) + e_i, \qquad e_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\sigma_{\mathsf{noise}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

• Leads to an infinite-dimensional model with operators  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_i$ :

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = \mathcal{A} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t)$$
$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathcal{H}_i \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t_i) + \mathbf{e}_i$$

- We can use the infinite-dimensional Kalman filter and RTS smoother – scale linearly in time dimension.
- Solution with PDE methods such as basis function expansions, FEM, finite-differences, spectral methods, etc.

### Example: 2D Matérn Covariance Function

### Example (2D Matérn covariance function)

The multidimensional Matérn covariance function is the following
 (r = ||ξ − ξ'||, for ξ = (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ..., x<sub>d−1</sub>, t) ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup>):

$$k(r) = \sigma^2 \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{r}{l}\right)^{\nu} K_{\nu} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{r}{l}\right)$$

• For example, if  $\nu = 1$  and d = 2, we get the following:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(x,t)}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ \partial^2/\partial x^2 - \lambda^2 & -2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \partial^2/\partial x^2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(x,t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} w(x,t).$$



Simo Särkkä (Aalto)

### The Basic Idea of State-Space Representation

• A latent force model is of the form

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = g(z(t)) + u(t),$$

where u(t) is the latent force.

• We measure the system at discrete instants of time:

$$y_k = z(t_k) + r_k$$

• Let's now model u(t) as a Gaussian process of Matern type

$$k(\tau) = \sigma^2 \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{\tau}{l}\right)^{\nu} K_{\nu} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{\tau}{l}\right)$$

• Recall that if, for example,  $\nu = 1/2$  then the GP can be expressed as the solution of the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = -\lambda \, u(t) + w(t)$$

# The Basic Idea of State-Space Representation (cont.)

• If we define  $\mathbf{f} = (z, u)$ , we get a two-dimensional SDE

$$\frac{d\mathbf{f}}{dt} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} g(f_1(t)) + f_2(t) \\ -\lambda f_2(t) \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{f})} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{L}} w(t)$$

• We can now rewrite the measurement model as

$$y_k = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{f}(t_k) + r_k$$

• Thus the result is a model of the generic form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{f}}{dt} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{f}) + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f}(t_k) + \mathbf{r}_k.$$

✓ Solution via non-linear Kalman/particle filtering and smoothing.
 ✓ Extends to spatio-temporal systems (PDEs).

Simo Särkkä (Aalto)

# GPS Satellite Orbit Prediction: Model

- Accurate orbit prediction improves Time To First Fix (TTFF) when network is not available for A-GPS.
- The equation of motion for the satellite can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) \end{bmatrix}.$$



• The model for acceleration is

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{a}_{g} + \mathbf{a}_{moon} + \mathbf{a}_{sun} + \mathbf{a}_{srp}$$

- Most modeling errors reside in the solar radiation pressure **a**<sub>srp</sub>
- Unknown forces  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  modeled as state-space GPs.

## GPS Satellite Orbit Prediction: Prediction Results



Simo Särkkä (Aalto)

# Spatio-Temporal Modeling of Precipitation



- Spatio-temporal interpolation of precipitation levels based on monthly data, years 1895–1997, Colorado, US.
- We used an infinite-dimensional state-space GP model with the non-separable spatio-temporal Matérn covariance function.
- Truncated eigenfunction expansion of the Laplace operator with 384 eigenfunctions.

# Oscillatory Structures in fMRI Brain Data



- Spatio-temporal estimation of heart beat induced oscillations in fMRI brain data (measured at AMI centre, Finland).
- Superposition of spatio-temporal oscillators (GPs as well):

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_j(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t^2} + \mathcal{A}_j \frac{\partial f_j(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{B}_j f_j(\mathbf{x},t) = \xi_j(\mathbf{x},t).$$

• Spatial smoothness controlled by the spectral density kernel of  $\xi_j(\cdot, t)$ .

#### • Gaussian processes (and fields) have different representations:

- Covariance function.
- Spectral density.
- Stochastic (partial) differential equation a state space model.
- We can often convert between the representations:
  - Rational spectral densities  $\Leftrightarrow$  state-space models.
  - Spatio-temporal models  $\Leftrightarrow$  infinite-dimensional state-space models.
- Kalman filter and RTS smoother are algorithms for linear-time inference in state-space models  $(O(n) \text{ vs. } O(n^3))$ .
- State-space methods for latent force models:
  - GP models can be combined with differential equations.
  - The resulting model can be expressed as a state-space model.
  - Efficient Bayesian computation with non-linear filtering and smoothing.

### State-Space Representation of Temporal & Spatio-Temporal GPs

Simo Särkkä, Arno Solin, and Jouni Hartikainen (2013). *Spatio-Temporal Learning via Infinite-Dimensional Bayesian Filtering and Smoothing*. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 30(5):51–61.



Bayesian Methods for State-Space Models (Kalman filters and stuff)

Simo Särkkä (2013). *Bayesian Filtering and Smoothing*. Cambridge University Press.



### Note on Non-Markovian SPDE Models

• Let's consider the following Whittle SPDE

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \lambda^2 f(x,t) = w(x,t),$$

where w(x, t) is a space-time white Gaussian random field.

- The covariance function is the same Matérn covariance function as in the previous example and with ν = 1 and d = 2
- We can now form a state-space model by defining  $\mathbf{f} = (f, \partial f / \partial t)$ :

$$rac{\partial \mathbf{f}(x,t)}{\partial t} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ \lambda^2 - \partial^2/\partial x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(x,t) + egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} w(x,t),$$

- but this state-space representation is different from the example!
- The catch is that the above model is non-Markovian whereas the one in the example is Markovian we still need the spectral factorization.

#### • Conventional GP regression / Kriging:

- Sevaluate the covariance function at the training and test set points.
- **2** Use GP regression formulas to compute the posterior process statistics.
- O Use the mean function as the prediction.

#### • State-space GP regression:

- Form the state space model.
- Q Run Kalman filter through the measurement sequence.
- In Run RTS smoother through the filter results.
- Use the smoother mean function as the prediction.
- With both GP regression and state-space formulation we have the corresponding parameter estimation methods – see, e.g., Rasmussen & Williams (2006) and Särkkä (2013), respectively.