Spectral Filtering for MultiOutput Learning

Lorenzo Rosasco Center for Biological and Computational Learning, MIT Universita' di Genova, Italy



- Learning with kernels
- Multioutput kernel and regularization
- Spectral filtering
- Perspectives

Scalar Case

- function estimation from samples
 - $f: R^d \to R \qquad (x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$
- kernel models

$$f = \sum_{j} K(x_j, \cdot) c_j$$

Kernels and Regularization

RKHS: Definitions

Hilbert space of functions $\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\exists k : R^d \times R^d \to R$ and

 $k(x,\cdot)\in\mathcal{H}$

and

$$f(x) = \langle f, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Tikhonov Regularization

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \| f \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \}.$$

Kernel Design





$$K(x,s) = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(s) \rangle$$

regularizers

$$J(f) = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Multiple Outputs

• vector functions

$$f: R^d \to R^T$$

• samples

$$(x_{i}^{T}, y_{i}^{T})_{i=1}^{n_{T}}$$

• kernel models

$$f = \sum_{j} K(x_j, \cdot) c_j \qquad c_j \in R^T$$

RKHS

RKHS: Definitions

Hilbert space of functions $\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\exists \ K : R^d \times R^d \to R^{T \times T}$ and for $c \in R^T$

 $K(x,\cdot)c\in\mathcal{H}$

and

$$f(x) = \langle f, K(\cdot, x)c \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Tikhonov Regularization

$$\min_{f=(f^1,\dots,f^T)\in\mathcal{H}} \{\sum_{j=1}^T \frac{1}{n_T} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^j - f^j(x_i^j))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \}.$$

Which Kernels?

Component wise definition

$$K: (R^d, T) \times (R^d, T) \to R \qquad K((x, t), (x', t'))$$

A general class of kernels

$$K(x, x') = \sum_{r} k_r(x, x') A_r$$

Kernels and Regularizers

Consider

$$K(x, x') = k(x, x')A$$

Then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_{j,i} A_{j,i}^\dagger \langle f^j, f^i \rangle_k$

with
$$f = (f^1, \cdots, f^T)$$

Example: Mixed Effect

$$\Gamma_{\omega}(x, x') = K(x, x')(\omega \mathbf{1} + (1 - \omega)\mathbf{I})$$

$$J(f) = A_{\omega} \left(B_{\omega} \sum_{\ell=1}^{T} ||f^{\ell}||_{K}^{2} + \omega T \sum_{\ell=1}^{T} ||f^{\ell} - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{q=1}^{T} f^{q}||_{K}^{2} \right)$$

Example: Clustering Outputs

 ${\cal M}$ specifies the clusters

$$G_{lq} = \epsilon_1 \delta_{lq} + (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) M_{lq}$$
$$K(x, x') = k(x, x') G^{\dagger}$$

$$J(f) = \epsilon_1 \sum_{c=1}^r \sum_{l \in I(c)} ||f^l - \overline{f}_c||_K^2 + \epsilon_2 \sum_{c=1}^r m_c ||\overline{f}_c||_K^2,$$

Example: Graph

 ${\cal M}$ is an adjacency matrix among the tasks

$$L = D - M,$$
$$K(x, x') = k(x, x')L^{\dagger}$$

$$J(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell,q=1}^{T} ||f^{\ell} - f^{q}||_{K}^{2} M_{\ell q} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{T} ||f^{\ell}||_{K}^{2} M_{\ell \ell}.$$

Inference and Computations

Least Squares and Tikhonov

$$c = (K + \lambda nI)^{-1}Y$$

Kernel Matrix is $(Tn_T) \times (Tn_T)$ c, Y are Tn_T

Computing the solution for N different regularization parameter is expensive $O(N(Tn_T)^3)$

III-posed Problems

Well-posedness in the sense of Hadamard

- A solution exists
- The solution is unique
- The solution depends continuously on the data

Problems that are not well-posed are termed *ill-posed*.



$$Af = g$$



Regularization and Filtering

$$c = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\sigma_{i} + \lambda n} \langle u_{i}, Y \rangle u_{i}$$
Spectral Filtering
$$c = \sum_{i} G_{\lambda}(\sigma_{i}) \langle u_{i}, Y \rangle u_{i}$$

Regularization and Filtering



Classical Examples

• Tikhonov Regularization

$G_{\lambda}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma + \lambda}$

Other Examples

Many other Examples of Filters (only some known in machine learning)

- TSVD (principal component regression)
- Landweber iteration (L₂ boosting)
- \blacktriangleright *v*-method
- iterated Tikhonov

(Engl et al., Rosasco et I. '05, Lo Gerfo et al. '08, Bauer et. al. '05)

Early Stopping

The filter correspond to a truncated expansion of the inverse.

$$G_{\lambda}(\sigma) = \eta \sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \eta \sigma)^j \sim \frac{1}{\sigma}$$

$$A^{-1} \sim \eta \sum_{j=1}^{t} (I - \eta A)^j$$

Implementation

set
$$\alpha_0 = 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, t$
 $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i-1} + \eta (Y - \mathbf{K}\alpha_{i-1})$

Estimator

$$f^t = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^t K(x_i, \cdot)$$

Remarks

- Empirical risk minimization with no constraints
- Regularization parameter is t: iteration regularizes
- No need of SVD
- Only matrix/vector multiplication

 $O(N(Tn_T)^2)$

Fast Solution for Tikhonov Regularization

For Kernel of the form K(x, x') = k(x, x')Awe can diagonalize A and rotate data.

Tikhonov Regularization can be solved at the price of a single task!

Vector fields

$$v^{1}(x,y) = 2sin(3x)sin(1.5y)$$

 $v^{2}(x,y) = 2cos(3y)cos(1.5x)$ +

Useful Kernels

Divergence Free

$$\Gamma_{df}(x, x') = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{||x-y||^2}{2\sigma^2}} A_{x, x'}$$

$$A_{x,x'} = \frac{(x - x')(x - x')^T}{\sigma^2} + \left((T - 1) - \frac{||x - x'||^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \mathbf{I}$$

Curl Free

$$\Gamma_{cf}(x,x') = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{||x-x'||^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(\mathbf{I} - \left(\frac{x-x'}{\sigma}\right) \left(\frac{x-x'}{\sigma}\right)^T \right)$$

Numerical Results

Numerical Results

DIVERGENCE FREE PART

Some Theory:
Random Operators

$$T_{\mathbf{x}}f(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}K(x,x_i)f(x_i)$$
 $Tf(x) = \int K(x,x)f(x)d\rho(x)$

$$P\left(\|T - T_{\mathbf{x}}\| \le \frac{Ct}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge 1 - e^{-t^2}$$

The above result implies convergence of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues

Learning Rates

Theorem

lf

$$\left\|T^{-r}f_{\rho}\right\|\leq R$$

with r > 1/2 and $\sigma_i \sim i^{-1/b}$, b > 1, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|f_{n}-f_{\rho}\right\|_{\rho}^{2}\leq C\sqrt{\tau}n^{-\frac{2rb}{2rb+1}}\right)\geq 1-e^{-\tau^{2}}$$

for $\lambda = n^{-\frac{1}{2rb+1}}$.

- The above estimate is optimal in a minimax sense.
- Parameter choice can be done adaptively

```
(Caponnetto et al., b=1 Bauer at al.)
```


• One name, 3 problems?

• Learning the kernels?

Vector Fields and Multi-tasks

Different Regimes?

- n>d>T (classical)
- d>n (high dimensional inference)
- T>n, n>T (??)

- curse of dimensionality vs blessing of smoothness
 - smoothness/d should be big

Multiple Classes

Inputs belong to one of T classes

Journal of Machine Learning Research 5 (2004) 101-141

Submitted 4/03; Revised 8/03; Published 1/04

In Defense of One-Vs-All Classification

Ryan Rifkin

Honda Research Institute USA 145 Tremont Street Boston, MA 02111-1208, USA

Aldebaro Klautau

UC San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093-0407, USA RIF@ALUM.MIT.EDU

A.KLAUTAU@IEEE.ORG

One Versus All

Coding

$$1 = (1, -1, -1, \cdots), 2 = (-1, 1, -1, \cdots) \dots$$

Regression of Coding Vectors

$$\min_{f=(f^1,\cdots,f^T)} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - f(x_i)\|_T^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^T \|f^j\|^2$$

Classification Rule

$$c(x) = \max_{j=1,\cdots,T} f^j(x)$$

Remarks

- No correlation among classes
- How can we estimate it?
- In simulation one observe improvement in probability estimation but NOT in classification performances.

Regression vs Classification

- the components of the regression function are proportional of the conditional probabilities of each class
- the obtained estimator is Bayes Consistent

Learning the Kernel?

- Bayesian Approaches (consistency guarantees/stability/computability?)
- Regularization (what is the underlying Kernel? How are the outputs related?)